



Integrated care for older people (ICOPE) Guidelines on community-level interventions to manage declines in intrinsic capacity

Evidence profile: mobility loss

Scoping question:

Does physical exercise training (progressive resistance training or multimodal exercise) produce any benefit or harm for older people with limitations in activities of daily living (ADLs)?

The full ICOPE guidelines and complete set of evidence profiles are available at who.int/ageing/publications/guidelines-icope

Painting: "Wet in Wet" by Gusta van der Meer. At 75 years of age, Gusta has an artistic style that is fresh, distinctive and vibrant. A long-time lover of art, she finds that dementia is no barrier to her artistic expression. Appreciated not just for her art but also for the support and encouragement she gives to other artists with dementia, Gusta participates in a weekly art class. Copyright by Gusta van der Meer. All rights reserved

Contents

Background	1
Part 1: Evidence review	2
Scoping question in PICO format (population, intervention, comparison, outcome)	2
Search strategy	3
List of systematic reviews identified by the search process	3
PICO Table	5
Narrative description of the studies that went into analysis	7
GRADE table 1: Progressive resistance training compared with control for older people with limitations in daily activities	10
GRADE table 2: Multimodal exercise training compared with controls for older people with limitations in daily activities	
GRADE table 3: T'ai chi compared with control in older people with limitations in activities of daily living	15
Part 2: From evidence to recommendations	17
Summary of evidence	17
Evidence-to-recommendations table	18
Guideline development group recommendation and remarks	22
References	24
Annex 1: Search strategy	29
Annex 2: PRISMA flow diagram for mobility loss	30

© World Health Organization 2017

Some rights reserved. This work is available under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 3.0 IGO licence (CC BY-NC-SA 3.0 IGO; https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/igo)

Background

A recent meta-analysis of 54 studies reported that the prevalence of limitations in activities of daily living (ADL) was 39% among older people over 65 years of age, three times the proportion in working age groups (1). With increasing age, the ability of older people to perform basic activities declines. The rate of decline is not homogeneous, however, and is largely determined by the environments people inhabit. Population studies have shown that up to 20% of older people improve their ability with exercise interventions (2), and many frequently transit between high and low capacity within the same age group (3). This dynamic nature provides room for restoration and maintenance of optimal physical capacity even in the oldest age group.

A growing body of evidence suggests strong associations between a reduced ability to perform basic activities and adverse outcomes, such as premature mortality (4), institutionalization (5, 6), risk of falls (7), depression (8), cognitive impairment (9) and decreased quality of life (10). Intervention trials of multimodal physical exercise training targeting modifiable risk factors such as strength and balance have shown significant benefits for older people with reduced physical capacity, even in the very old and severely impaired (11). Simple physical exercise, such as walking, has shown significant reductions in the levels of need for assistance in daily activities (12). There is also empirical support from earlier reviews for the benefit of exercise on behaviour, cognition, communication and functioning in older people with cognitive impairments.

In this context, understanding the effect of physical exercise on older people with limitations in physical and cognitive function is extremely important. To assess its benefits, we reviewed the evidence of the effects of physical exercise on muscle strength, balance, gait speed, chair stand, timed up and go, ADL and physical functioning measured by the Short Physical Performance Battery *(13)*. Although evidence from developed countries has shown potential benefit of physical-activity programmes for older people, it is still unclear whether such evidence and recommendations could be relevant for older people in low-resource health care settings, where the majority of older people in the world reside.

Part 1: Evidence review

Scoping question in PICO format (population, intervention, comparison, outcome)

Population

Noninstitutionalized older people with limitations in activities of daily living (ADLs)

Interventions

Progressive resistance exercise (PRE)

• Multimodal exercise (combining two or more types of exercise: PRE or strength, balance, stretching, and endurance or aerobic exercise)

Comparison

44

- No exercise
 Attention cont
- Attention-control
- Usual care or waiting list

Outcomes

- *Critical*: Muscle strength, balance, chair stand, timed up and go, physical functioning, ADLs, cognition
- Important: Gait speed

Search strategy

The following electronic databases were used to identify studies for this review: Ovid MEDLINE, 1966 to April 2011; EMBASE, 1960 to April 2011; and the Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews and Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL), till April 2011. A recent search was conducted in October 2015 to update the sources of literature. Details of the search strategy are in Annex 1.

List of systematic reviews identified by the search process

Included in GRADE¹ tables or footnotes

Older adults with limitations in physical function

— Cadore EL, Rodríguez-Mañas L, Sinclair A, Izquierdo M. Effects of different exercise interventions on risk of falls, gait ability, and balance in physically frail older adults: a systematic review. *Rejuvenation Res.* 2013;16:105–14. doi:10.1089/rej.2012.1397.

— Chou C-H, Hwang C-L, Wu Y-T. Effect of exercise on physical function, daily living activities, and quality of life in the frail older adults: a meta-analysis. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil.* 2012;93:237–44. doi:10.1016/j.apmr.2011.08.042.

¹ GRADE: Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation. More information: http://gradeworkinggroup.org — Daniels R, van Rossum E, de Witte L, Kempen GIJM, van den Heuvel W. Interventions to prevent disability in frail communitydwelling elderly: a systematic review. *BMC Health Serv Res*. 2008;8:278. doi:10.1186/1472-6963-8-278.

— de Vries N, van Ravensberg C, Hobbelen J, Olde Rikkert MGM, Staal JB, Nijhuis-van der Sanden MWG. Effects of physical exercise therapy on mobility, physical functioning, physical activity and quality of life in community-dwelling older adults with impaired mobility, physical disability and/or multi-morbidity: a meta-analysis. *Ageing Res Rev.* 2012;11(1):136–49. doi:10.1016/j.arr.2011.11.002.

— Giné-Garriga M, Roqué-Fíguls M, Coll-Planas L, Sitjà-Rabert M, Salvà A. Physical exercise interventions for improving performancebased measures of physical function in community-dwelling, frail older adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil.* 2014;95:753–69.e3. doi:10.1016/j.apmr.2013.11.007.

— Gillespie LD, Robertson MC, Gillespie WJ, Sherrington C, Gates S, Clemson LM, Lamb SE. Interventions for preventing falls in older people living in the community. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2012;(9):CD007146. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD007146.pub3.

— Howe T, Rochester L, Neil F, Skelton D, Ballinger C. Exercise for improving balance in older people. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2011;(11):CD004963. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD004963.pub3.

— Liu C, Latham N. Progressive resistance strength training for improving physical function in older adults. *Cochrane*

(continued next page)

Database Syst Rev. 2009;(3):CD002759. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD002759.pub2.

— van Abbema R, De Greef M, Crajé C, Krijnen W, Hobbelen H, Van Der Schans C. What type or combination of exercise can improve preferred gait speed in older adults? A meta-analysis. *BMC Geriatr.* 2015;15:72. doi:10.1186/s12877-015-0061-9.

Older adults with limitations in cognitive function

 $-\!-$ Burton E, Cavalheri V, Adams R, et al. Effectiveness of exercise programs to reduce falls in older people with dementia living in the

community: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clin Interv Aging*. 2015;10:421–34. doi:10.2147/CIA.S71691.

 Forbes D, Forbes SC, Blake CM, Thiessen EJ, Forbes S.
 Exercise programs for people with dementia. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2015;(4):CD006489.
 doi:10.1002/14651858.CD006489.pub4.

— Pitkälä K, Savikko N, Poysti M, Strandberg T, Laakkonen M-L. Efficacy of physical exercise intervention on mobility and physical functioning in older people with dementia: a systematic review. *Exp Gerontol.* 2013;48(1):85-93. doi:10.1016/j.exger.2012.08.008.

PICO Table

	Intervention/ comparison	Outcomes	Systematic reviews used for GRADE	Explanation
Older people with limitations in physical function	Multimodal exercise, progressive resistance exercise, or t'ai chi vs no exercise, attention control, or waiting	 Muscle strength Balance Gait speed Chair stand Timed up and go Overall physical 	Cadore EL, Rodríguez-Mañas L, Sinclair A, Izquierdo M. Effects of different exercise interventions on risk of falls, gait ability, and balance in physically frail older adults: a systematic review. <i>Rejuvenation Res.</i> 2013;16:105–14. doi:10.1089/rej.2012.1397.	Systematic review relevant to the area
	list	functioning • Activities of daily living (ADL) • Falls	Chou C-H, Hwang C-L, Wu Y-T. Effect of exercise on physical function, daily living activities, and quality of life in the frail older adults: a meta- analysis. <i>Arch Phys Med Rehabil.</i> 2012;93:237–44. doi:10.1016/j.apmr.2011.08.042.	
			de Vries N, van Ravensberg C, Hobbelen J, Olde Rikkert MGM, Staal JB, Nijhuis-van der Sanden MWG. Effects of physical exercise therapy on mobility, physical functioning, physical activity and quality of life in community-dwelling older adults with impaired mobility, physical disability and/or multi- morbidity: a meta-analysis. <i>Ageing Res Rev.</i> 2012;11(1):136–49. doi:10.1016/j.arr.2011.11.002.	(continued next page)
			Giné-Garriga M, Roqué-Fíguls M, Coll-Planas L,	(continued next page)

Progressive resistance exercise vs no exercise or attention control	 Muscle strength Balance Gait speed Chair stand Timed up and go Overall physical functioning Activities of daily living (ADL) Falls 	Liu C, Latham N. Progressive resistance strength training for improving physical function in older adults. <i>Cochrane Database Syst Rev.</i> 2009;(3):CD002759. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD002759.pub2.	Systematic review relevant to the area
		van Abbema R, De Greef M, Crajé C, Krijnen W, Hobbelen H, Van Der Schans C. What type or combination of exercise can improve preferred gait speed in older adults? A meta-analysis. <i>BMC</i> <i>Geriatr.</i> 2015;15:72. doi:10.1186/s12877-015-0061-9.	
		Howe T, Rochester L, Neil F, Skelton D, Ballinger C. Exercise for improving balance in older people. <i>Cochrane Database Syst Rev.</i> 2011;(11):CD004963. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD004963.pub3.	
		Sitjà-Rabert M, Salvà A. Physical exercise interventions for improving performance-based measures of physical function in community- dwelling, frail older adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>Arch Phys Med Rehabil.</i> 2014;95:753–69.e3. doi:10.1016/j.apmr.2013.11.007.	

Narrative description of the studies that went into analysis

Systematic reviews on physical exercise for older people without dementia

Cadore et al. conducted a systematic review of the effects of different exercise interventions on risk of falls, gait ability and balance in physically frail older adults. Studies published from 1990 to 2012 were searched in the Scientific Electronic Library Online (SciELO), Science Citation Index, MEDLINE, Scopus, SPORTDiscus, and ScienceDirect databases. Twenty studies were included in their review. Seven out of the 20 studies (1420 participants), which recruited frail older people or those with a history of falling or a risk of falls, were added to the scope of this review conducted for the guidelines process. The average ages ranged from 72 to 83 years. The duration of exercise ranged from 10 weeks to 1 year. Six trials applied multimodal exercise and one applied progressive resistance exercise. The lead authors of these seven studies were from Australia, Brazil, Germany, Japan and USA.

Chou et al. conducted a meta-analysis to evaluate the effect of exercise on physical function, activities of daily living, and quality of life in the frail older adults. Studies published between 2001 and 2010 were searched in PubMed, MEDLINE, EMBASE, Chinese Electronic Periodical Service, CINAHL, and the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL). Eight trials (1,068 participants) were included in their review. After removing ineligible trials and any that had already been included in this review by WHO, one trial (311 participants with a mean age of 81 years) was added to the scope review. The trial intervention was t'ai chi for 48 weeks.

Daniels et al. conducted a systematic review of intervention studies to prevent disability in community-dwelling physically frail older adults. The PubMed, Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL) and CINAHL databases were searched in May 2007. Ten studies were included in their review. Out of the 10 studies, seven were excluded by this review by WHO (two had been included in the scope review, two included an active control, two combined nutrition programmes, and one included a home-safety programme). The three selected studies (277 participants) for this scope review were randomized controlled trials published by authors from Denmark, Netherlands, and USA. Participants either had mobility limitations or were considered frail. The mean age ranged from 77 to 81 years. The sample size ranged from 46 to 155 participants. The exercise duration ranged from 12 weeks to 10 months. All three trials used multimodal exercise.

de Vries et al. conducted a meta-analysis to evaluate the effects of physical exercise therapy on mobility, physical functioning, physical activity and quality of life in community-dwelling older adults with mobility limitations. The PubMed, CINAHL, EMBASE, PEDro and Cochrane Library databases were searched in May 2011 and 18 studies were included. Four of the 18 studies (734 participants) were added to this scope review. The lead authors of the selected trials were from Australia, Denmark and USA. Participants either had mobility limitations or a recent fall history. The mean age of the trial participants ranged from 75 to 78 years. The sample size

(continued next page)

ranges from 72 to 424 participants. The exercise duration ranges from 5 weeks to 1 year. All four trials used multimodal exercise.

Giné-Garriga et al. (2014) conducted a systematic review and metaanalysis on physical exercise for improving performance-based measures of physical function in frail older adults living in the community. MEDLINE, Cochrane Library, PEDro, and CINAHL databases were searched in April 2013 and 19 trials were included. Two of the 19 studies (99 participants) were added to this scope review. The lead authors of these two trials were from Sweden and USA. The mean age of the trial participants was 70 and 83 years, respectively. The samples size was 53 and 46 participants, respectively. The exercise duration was 12 weeks or 1 year. Both trials used multimodal exercise.

Howe et al. (2011) conducted a Cochrane systematic review of exercise interventions on balance in older people. Cochrane Bone, Joint and Muscle Trauma Group, Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL; 2011, Issue 1), MEDLINE and EMBASE (to February 2011) databases were searched. The review included 94 trials (9,821 participants). Three randomized controlled trials (240 participants) were selected and included in this scope review based on the criterion on population. These three trials were published by authors from Japan and USA. Participants either had functional limitations (self-reported) or were frail. The mean age of participants ranged from 70 to 74 years. The sample size ranged from 49 to 132 participants. The exercise duration ranged from 8 to 24 weeks. One trial used progressive resistance exercise, one multimodal exercise, and one t'ai chi.

Liu and Latham (2009) conducted a Cochrane systematic review of the effect of progressive resistance strength training on improving physical function in older adults. Cochrane Bone, Joint and Muscle Trauma Group (to March 2007), Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL; 2007, Issue 2), MEDLINE (1966 to 1 May 2008), EMBASE (1980 to 6 February 2007), CINAHL (1982 to 1 July 2007) and two other electronic databases were searched (till March 2007). Although 121 randomized controlled trials (6,700 participants) were included in Liu and Latham's review, only 10 trials were initially included in this scope review. One trial did not have outcomes relevant to this review so was excluded from further review. The remaining nine trials (715 participants) were published by authors from Australia, Netherlands, New Zealand, UK, and USA. Participants either had physical performance limitations, difficulty rising from a chair or weak leg muscle strength. The mean age of participants ranged from 71 to 81 years. The sample size ranged from 16 to 243 participants. The exercise duration ranged from 8 to 25 weeks (12 weeks or under for 6 trials). This review was updated by WHO in 2015, and two additional trials were included in the evidence synthesis.

van Abbema et al. (2015) conducted a meta-analysis to evaluate the effect of exercise on gait speed in older adults. Studies published between 1990 and 2013 were searched in PubMed, EMBASE, EBSCO (Allied and Complementary Medicine Database [AMED], CINAHL, Education Resources Information Center (ERIC), MEDLINE, PsycINFO and SocINDEX), and Cochrane Library databases. van Abbema et al. included 25 trials (2389 participants) in their review. After removing ineligible and duplicate studies, three trials (226 participants) were added to this scope review. The lead authors were from Spain, Japan or Australia. Participants were either frail or had geriatric syndromes or concerns about balance. All three trials used multimodal exercise for 3 months or 6 months.

(continued next page)

Systematic reviews on physical exercise for older people with dementia

Burton et al. (2015) conducted a systematic review and metaanalysis to evaluate the effect of exercise programmes to reduce falls in older adults with dementia. Studies published between January 2000 and February 2010 were searched in PubMed, MEDLINE (ProQuest), PsycINFO, EMBASE, CINAHL and Scopus databases in 2014. Four trials (336 participants) were included in their review. After removing ineligible trials, two trials published from Finland and Australia (250 participants with a mean age of 78 and 82 years, respectively) were added to this scope review. Both trials used multimodal exercise for 6 months or 12 months. One trial delivered exercise that was either group-based or home-based. The other trial was of an individualized exercise programme.

Forbes et al. (2015) conducted a Cochrane systematic review of exercise programmes for people with dementia to improve cognition, activities of daily living, and behavioural and psychological symptoms. They identified and reviewed 17 trials (1067 participants) using the following databases: MEDLINE, PsycINFO, EMBASE, CINAHL, CENTRAL, LILACS, ALOIS, ClinicalTrials.gov and Web of Science (the search was conducted in 2013). Two trials (67 participants) were added to this scope review. These were published by authors from Australia and USA. The mean age of participants was 74 and 75 years, respectively. Both trials used multimodal exercise daily, for 12 weeks or 4 months, respectively. One trial (Steinberg et al. 2009) was not included in the final effect-size calculation in this scope review because the mean and standard deviation of post-test were not reported.

Pitkälä et al. (2013) conducted a systematic review to evaluate the effect of physical exercise on mobility and physical functioning in older adults with dementia. Studies published through August 31 2011 were searched in the following databases: PubMed, Cochrane Library, Cochrane Database of Abstracts of Reviews of Effects (DARE), CINAHL and Nursing@Ovid. Twenty randomized controlled trials (1378 participants) were included in the review. After removing ineligible trials and any that had already been included in this scope review, four trials (160 participants with mean ages ranging from 76.9 to 81.9 years) published from France, Israel, Korea and UK were added to this review. All trials used multimodal exercise with a duration ranging from 2 weeks to 12 months.

GRADE table 1: Progressive resistance training compared with control for older people with limitations in daily activities

(hare

Authors:	WHO systematic review team
Date:	25 October 2015
Question:	Does progressive resistance exercise compared with control produce any benefit or harm for older people with limitations in activities of daily living (ADL)?
Setting:	Community
Bibliography:	Liu C, Latham N. Progressive resistance strength training for improving physical function in older adults. <i>Cochrane Database Syst Rev.</i> 2009;(3):CD002759.

doi:10.1002/14651858.CD002759.pub2. (Systematic review was updated by WHO in 2015.)

			Quality assessm	nent			Number of	patients	Effect		
Number of studies	Study design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	Progressive resistance exercise	control	Absolute (95% Cl)	Quality	Importance
Balance (follow-up 8-24 weeks; assessed with one-leg stand, functional reach, Berg balance scale, FICSIT balance test; higher score = better performance)											
7	randomized trials	serious ª	not serious	not serious	not serious	none	291	302	SMD 0.09 higher (0.16 lower to 0.33 higher)	MODERATE	CRITICAL
Gait speed (fo	ollow-up 8–24 we	eks; assesse	d with timed walk;	higher score = b	etter performano	e)					
7	randomized trials	serious ^b	serious °	not serious	not serious	none	241	237	SMD 0.19 higher (0.11 lower to 0.49 higher)	LOW	IMPORTANT
Chair stand (follow-up 6–12 m	onths; assess	sed with five chair	stands; lower sco	ore = better perf	ormance)					
2	randomized trials	serious ^d	not serious	not serious	very serious ^e	none	20	18	MD 4.01 seconds lower (7.3 lower to 0.72 lower)	VERY LOW	CRITICAL (continued next page)

			Quality assessm	ient			Number of	patients	Effect		
Number of studies	Study design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	Progressive resistance exercise	control	Absolute (95% Cl)	Quality	Importance
Timed up and go (follow-up 8-24 weeks; assessed with timed up and go; lower score = better performance)											
6	randomized trials	serious ^f	not serious	not serious	not serious	none	270	266	MD 0.54 seconds lower (1.99 lower to 0.92 higher)	MODERATE	CRITICAL
Overall physical functioning (follow-up 10-12 weeks; assessed with Short Physical Performance Test, SF36-physical functioning; higher score = better performance)											
3	randomized trials	serious ^g	not serious	not serious	not serious	none	168	169	SMD 0.01 higher (0.27 lower to 0.28 higher)	MODERATE	CRITICAL
ADLs (follow-	up 10–16 weeks;	assessed wit	h Groningen Activi	ty Restriction Sc	ale, Barthel Inde	ex, Continuous Sca	le Physical Fun	ctional Perfo	rmance; higher score	= better perform	nance)
4	randomized trials	not serious	not serious	not serious	not serious	none	150	159	SMD 0.04 higher (0.19 lower to 0.27 higher)	HIGH	CRITICAL
Muscle stren	gth of the lower	extremity (fo	llow-up 8–24 week	s; higher score :	= better perform	ance)					
8	randomized trials	serious ^h	serious ⁱ	not serious	not serious	none	328	327	SMD 0.32 higher (0.07 higher to 0.58 higher)	LOW	CRITICAL

ADLs: activities of daily living: MD: mean difference; RR: relative risk a. Risk of bias: Downgraded once as allocation concealment was unclear in four trials and information on incomplete data was not adequately described in three trials. b. Risk of bias: Downgraded once as allocation concealment was unclear in three trials and procedure for masking outcome assessor was unclear in two trials.

c.

d.

e. f.

unclear in two trials. Inconsistency: Downgraded once as moderate heterogeneity was observed in the meta-analysis (Tau² = 0.01; Chi² = 12.80, df = 6 (P = 0.03; I2 = 61%). Risk of bias: Downgraded once as outcome assessor was not masked in one trial. Imprecision: Downgraded twice as sample size was very small. Risk of bias: Downgraded once as allocation concealment was unclear in four trials and procedure for masking outcome was unclear in three trials. Risk of bias: Downgraded once as information on incomplete data was unclear in two trials. Risk of bias: Downgraded once as information on incomplete data was unclear in dout come assessors were not masked in three trials. Inconsistency: Downgraded once as moderate heterogeneity was observed in the meta-analysis (Tau² = 0.14; Chi² = 33.17, df = 7 (P = 0.0007]; I² = 69%).

g. h. i.

GRADE table 2: Multimodal exercise training compared with controls for older people with limitations in daily activities

Autnors:	
Date:	
Question:	

Setting: Bibliography: Does multimodal exercise compared with control produce any benefit or harm for older people with limitations in activities of daily living (ADL)? Community

Cadore EL, Rodríguez-Mañas L, Sinclair A, Izquierdo M. Effects of different exercise interventions on risk of falls, gait ability, and balance in physically frail older adults: a systematic review. *Rejuvenation Res.* 2013;16:105–14. doi:10.1089/rej.2012.1397.

Chou C-H, Hwang C-L, Wu Y-T. Effect of exercise on physical function, daily living activities, and quality of life in the frail older adults: a meta-analysis. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil.* 2012;93:237–44. doi:10.1016/j.apmr.2011.08.042.

Daniels R, van Rossum E, de Witte L, Kempen GIJM, van den Heuvel W. Interventions to prevent disability in frail community-dwelling elderly: a systematic review. *BMC Health Serv Res.* 2008;8:278. doi:10.1186/1472-6963-8-278.

de Vries N, van Ravensberg C, Hobbelen J, Olde Rikkert MGM, Staal JB, Nijhuis-van der Sanden MWG. Effects of physical exercise therapy on mobility, physical functioning, physical activity and quality of life in community-dwelling older adults with impaired mobility, physical disability and/or multi-morbidity: a metaanalysis. *Ageing Res Rev.* 2012;11(1):136–49. doi:10.1016/j.arr.2011.11.002.

Giné-Garriga M, Roqué-Fíguls M, Coll-Planas L, Sitjà-Rabert M, Salvà A. Physical exercise interventions for improving performance-based measures of physical function in community-dwelling, frail older adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil.* 2014;95:753–69.e3.

doi:10.1016/j.apmr.2013.11.007.

WHO systematic review team

24 October 2015

Howe T, Rochester L, Neil F, Skelton D, Ballinger C. Exercise for improving balance in older people. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2011;(11):CD004963. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD004963.pub3. van Abbema R, De Greef M, Crajé C, Krijnen W, Hobbelen H, Van Der Schans C. What type or combination of exercise can improve preferred gait speed in older adults? A meta-analysis. *BMC Geriatr.* 2015;15:72. doi:10.1186/s12877-015-0061-9.

(continued next page)

			Quality asses	sment			Number of	patients	Effect	l.	
Number of studies	Study design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	Multimodal exercise	Control	Absolute (95% CI)	Quality	Importance
Balance (fo	llow-up 12–18 n	nonths; asse	ssed with Berg ba	lance scale, func	tional reach, mo	dified Romberg test	t, single-leg sta	nd, Tinetti k	palance score; higher sc	ore = better perfo	ormance)
16	randomized trials	not serious	serious ^a	not serious	not serious	none	642	671	SMD 0.43 higher (0.15 higher to 0.7 higher)	MODERATE	CRITICAL
Gait speed	(follow-up 12–1	8 months; as	sessed with timed	walk; higher sco	re = better perfo	ormance)					
15	randomized trials	not serious	serious ^b	not serious	not serious	none	749	794	SMD 0.26 higher (0 to 0.52 higher)	MODERATE	IMPORTANT
Chair stand	l (follow-up 5 we	eeks to 18 m	onths; assessed w	ith five chair star	nds, number of c	hair stand repetition	ns; lower score	= better pe	rformance)		
9	randomized trials	not serious	serious ^c	not serious	not serious	none	402	425	SMD 0.41 lower (0.77 lower to 0.04 lower)	DEE D MODERATE	CRITICAL
Timed up an	d go (follow-up	12 weeks to	16 months; assess	sed with timed up	and go and mo	odified timed up and	go; lower score	e = better p	erformance)		
5	randomized trials	serious ^d	serious ^e	not serious	serious ^f	none	149	156	SMD 0.93 lower (1.87 lower to 0.01 higher)	VERY LOW	CRITICAL (continued next page)

Overall physe Physical Per	Overall physical functioning (follow-up 12 weeks to 18 months; assessed with eight-item physical performance test, modified physical performance test, SF36-physical functioning, Short Physical Performance Battery, Balance Outcome Measure for Elder Rehabilitation, Organization Quality of Life Instrument-physical domain; higher score = better performance)												
9	randomized trials	serious ^g	serious ^h	not serious	not serious	none	445	531	SMD 0.25 higher (0.08 higher to 0.43 higher)	EE EE LOW	CRITICAL		
ADLs (follow scale; higher	ADLs (follow-up 12 weeks to 18 months; assessed with Barthel Index, functional status questionnaire, NHANES independence measure, The Older Americans Resources and Services ADL scale; higher score = better performance)												
7	randomized trials	not serious	serious ⁱ	not serious	not serious	none	276	275	SMD 0.73 higher (0.15 higher to 1.31 higher)	SEE MODERATE	CRITICAL		
Muscle stre	ngth of the low	ver extremit	y (follow-up 12–18	months; assesse	ed with muscle s	trength of knee exte	ension, hip add	uctor or of	quadriceps; higher score	e = better perform	nance)		
10	randomized trials	not serious	serious ^j	not serious	not serious	none	631	628	SMD 0.24 higher (0.02 higher to 0.45 higher)	MODERATE	CRITICAL		

ADLs: activities of daily living; SMD: standardized mean difference
a. Inconsistency: Downgraded once as substantial heterogeneity was observed in the meta-analysis for the estimate (heterogeneity: Tau² = 0.17; Chi² = 2.18, df = 15 *P* = 0.000; l² = 34%). This is mainly due to very large treatment effect from one of the included trials.
b. Inconsistency: Downgraded once as considerable heterogeneity was observed in the meta-analysis for the estimate (heterogeneity: Tau² = 0.94; Chi² = 3.92, df = 4 [*P* = 0.05]; l² = 75%). No further subgroup analysis was conducted to explore heterogeneity. Tau² = 0.94; Chi² = 3.350, df = 8 [*P* = 0.01]; l² = 52%). No further subgroup analysis was conducted to explore heterogeneity: Tau² = 0.04; Chi² = 3.350, df = 8 [*P* = 0.01]; l² = 52%). No further subgroup analysis was conducted to explore heterogeneity. Tau² = 0.04; Chi² = 3.31, df = 4 [*P* = 0.04]; l² = 52%). No further subgroup analysis was conducted to explore heterogeneity. Tau² = 0.04; Chi² = 3.31, df = 4 [*P* = 0.04]; l² = 67%). No further subgroup analysis was conducted to explore heterogeneity. Tau² = 0.15; Chi² = 3.31, df = 4 [*P* = 0.04]; l² = 67%). No further subgroup analysis was conducted to explore heterogeneity. Tau² = 0.15; Chi² = 3.31, df = 4 [*P* = 0.04]; l² = 67%). No further subgroup analysis was conducted to explore heterogeneity. Tau² = 0.15; Chi² = 3.31, df = 4 [*P* = 0.04]; l² = 67%). No further subgroup analysis was conducted to explore heterogeneity. Tau² = 0.21; Chi² = 0.14; I² = 0.04]; l² = 67%). No further subgroup analysis was conducted to explore heterogeneity.
g. Risk of bias: Downgraded once as wide confidence intervals were observed for the estimate.
g. Risk of bias: Downgraded once as outcome assessor was not masked in half of the included trials.
h. Inconsistency: Downgraded once as moderate heterogeneity was observed for the estimate.
g. Risk of bias: Downgraded on

j.

[P = 0.04]; [r = 61%). No further subgroup analysis was conducted to explore neterogeneity, and we were not able to explain the heterogeneity. Inconsistency: Downgraded once as moderate heterogeneity was observed for the estimate (heterogeneity: Chi² = 25.55, df = 6 [P = 0.02]; 12 = 49\%). No further subgroup analysis was conducted to explore heterogeneity, and we were not able to explain the heterogeneity. Inconsistency: Downgraded once as considerable heterogeneity was observed for the estimate (heterogeneity. Tau² = 0.85; Chi² = 2.92, df = 9 [P = 0.04]; I² = 71\%). No further subgroup analysis was conducted to explore heterogeneity, and we were not able to explain the heterogeneity.

GRADE table 3: T'ai chi compared with control in older people with limitations in activities of daily living

-

Authors:	WHO systematic review team
Date:	24 October 2015
Question:	Does t'ai chi compared with control produce any benefit or harm for older people with limitations in activities of daily living (ADL)?
Setting:	Community
Bibliography:	 de Vries N, van Ravensberg C, Hobbelen J, Olde Rikkert MGM, Staal JB, Nijhuis-van der Sanden MWG. Effects of physical exercise therapy on mobility, physical functioning, physical activity and quality of life in community-dwelling older adults with impaired mobility, physical disability and/or multimorbidity: a meta-analysis. <i>Ageing Res Rev.</i> 2012;11(1):136–49. doi:10.1016/j.arr.2011.11.002. Giné-Garriga M, Roqué-Fíguls M, Coll-Planas L, Sitjà-Rabert M, Salvà A. Physical exercise interventions for improving performance-based measures of physical function in community-dwelling, frail older adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>Arch Phys Med Rehabil.</i> 2014;95:753–69.e3. doi:10.1016/j.apmr.2013.11.007. Howe T, Rochester L, Neil F, Skelton D, Ballinger C. Exercise for improving balance in older people. <i>Cochrane Database Syst Rev.</i> 2011;(11):CD004963. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD004963.pub3.

van Abbema R, De Greef M, Crajé C, Krijnen W, Hobbelen H, Van Der Schans C. What type or combination of exercise can improve preferred gait speed in older adults? A meta-analysis. *BMC Geriatr.* 2015;15:72. doi:10.1186/s12877-015-0061-9.

	Quality assessment									Effect		
Number of studies	Study design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	T'ai chi	control	Relative (95% CI)	Absolute (95% Cl)	Quality	Importance
Balance	Balance (follow-up 8 weeks to 12 months; assessed with single leg stand, Berg balance scale; higher score = better performance)											
3	randomized trials	serious ^a	serious ^b	not serious	not serious	none	231	117	-	SMD 0.25 higher (0.06 higher to 0.44 higher)	EE []] LOW	CRITICAL
												(continued next page)

l	Quality assessment									Effect	Quality		
Number of studies	Study design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	T'ai chi	control	Relative (95% CI)	Absolute (95% Cl)	Quality	Importance	
Gait spee	Gait speed (follow-up 8-48 weeks; assessed with timed walk; higher score = better performance)												
2	randomized trials	serious ^c	not serious	not serious	not serious	none	123	118	-	MD 0.04 higher (0.21 lower to 0.29 higher)	MODERATE	IMPORTANT	
Chair sta	nd (follow up	48 weeks; a	ssessed with time	to rise from the o	chair, three time	s; lower score = be	etter perfo	ormance)					
1	randomized trials	not serious	not serious	not serious	very serious ^d	none	83	79	-	MD 0.53 lower (2.07 lower to 1.01 higher)	E III E E LOW	CRITICAL	
ADLs (fol	low up 12 mor	nths; assess	ed with Groningen	Activity Restricti	on Scale; lower	score = better per	formance	e)					
1	randomized trials	not serious	not serious	not serious	very serious ^e	none	138	93	_	MD 0.3 lower (2.51 lower to 1.91 higher)	CC	CRITICAL	

ADLs: activities of daily living; MD: mean difference
a. Risk of bias: Downgraded once as outcome assessor was not masked in one trial.
b. Inconsistency: Downgraded once as considerable heterogeneity was observed in the meta-analysis for the estimate (Chi² = 2.59, df = 2 (P = 0.01); l² = 71%).
c. Risk of bias: Downgraded once as allocation concealment and procedure for masking outcome assessor was unclear in one trial.
d. Imprecision: Downgraded twice as sample size was small (less than 200) and wide confidence intervals was observed.
e. Imprecision: Downgraded twice as wide confidence intervals was observed.

Part 2: From evidence to recommendations

Summary of evidence

4-4

1

Outcome	Effect size		
	Progressive resistance exercise	Multimodal exercise	T'ai chi
Muscle strength GRADE table 1, Liu et al.	SMD 0.32 higher (0.07 higher to 0.58 higher) LOW	SMD 0.24 higher (0.02 higher to 0.45 higher) MODERATE	_
Balance GRADE table 1, Liu et al.	SMD 0.09 higher (0.16 lower to 0.33 higher) MODERATE	SMD 0.43 higher (0.15 higher to 0.7 higher) MODERATE	SMD 0.25 higher (0.06 higher to 0.44 higher) LOW
Gait speed GRADE table 1, Liu et al.	SMD 0.19 higher (0.11 lower to 0.49 higher) LOW	SMD 0.26 higher (0 to 0.52 higher) MODERATE	MD 0.04 higher (0.21 lower to 0.29 higher) MODERATE
Chair stand GRADE table 1, Liu et al.	MD 4.01 lower (7.3 lower to 0.72 lower) VERY LOW	SMD 0.41 lower (0.77 lower to 0.04 lower) MODERATE	MD 0.53 lower (2.07 lower to 1.01 higher) LOW
Timed up and go GRADE table 1, Liu et al.	MD 0.54 lower (1.99 lower to 0.92 higher) MODERATE	SMD 0.93 lower (1.87 lower to 0.01 higher) VERY LOW	_
Overall physical function GRADE table 1, Liu et al.	SMD 0.01 higher (0.27 lower to 0.28 higher) MODERATE	SMD 0.25 higher (0.08 higher to 0.43 higher) LOW	_
Activities of daily living (ADLs) GRADE table 1, Liu et al.	SMD 0.04 higher (0.19 lower to 0.27 higher) HIGH	SMD 0.73 higher (0.15 higher to 1.31 higher) MODERATE	MD 0.3 flower (2.51 lower to 1.91 higher) LOW

Evidence-to-recommendations table

Problem			Explanation	
Is the problem a priority?		prity?	Population studies have found that nearly 40% of older people experience limitations in performing daily activities. Evidence also suggests a strong relationship between declines in functional ability	
Yes √	No	Uncertain	and adverse outcomes, such as mortality, institutionalization, falls, morbidities, depression, cognitive impairment and decreased quality of life. Addressing functional indicators (muscle strength and balance) is therefore crucial for attenuating adverse outcomes for older people.	
			(continued next page)	

Benefits and harms	Explanation	
Benefits and harms Do the desirable effects outweigh the undesirable effects? Yes No ✓	 Explanation Progressive resistance exercise in older people with limitations in physical function: There is low-quality evidence for the effect of progressive resistance exercise on increasing muscle strength. There is very low-quality evidence for the effect of progressive resistance exercise on improving chair stand performance. Multimodal exercise in older people with limitations in physical function: There is moderate-quality evidence for the effect of multimodal exercise on increasing muscle strength. There is moderate-quality evidence for the effect of multimodal exercise on increasing muscle strength. There is moderate-quality evidence for the effect of multimodal exercise on improving balance. There is moderate-quality evidence for the effect of multimodal exercise on improving chair stand performance. There is low-quality evidence for the effect of multimodal exercise on improving chair stand performance. There is low-quality evidence for the effect of multimodal exercise on improving chair stand performance. There is low-quality evidence for the effect of multimodal exercise on improving overall physical function. There is moderate-quality evidence for the effect of multimodal exercise on improving overall physical function. 	
	 T'ai chi in older people with limitations in physical function: There is low-quality evidence for the effect of t'ai chi on improving balance. Adverse events were reviewed if reported in the text. Musculoskeletal adverse events, such as muscle soreness and joint pain, were common among studies and might be related to exercise. Few studies reported severe adverse events such as fracture. hospitalization or death. The 	
	relationship between these severe events and exercise was not clear, however. (continued next page)	

「よう」の記述になって

Values and preferences/ acceptability	Explanation
Is there important uncertainty or variability about how much people value the options? Major Minor Uncertain variability √ Is the option acceptable to key stakeholders? Major Minor Uncertain variability √	The GDG believes that this recommendation would be acceptable to older people and stakeholders. Multimodal exercise is consistent with the value of the promotion of their capacity and skills. Family members might also value the intervention when improved mobility is achieved. However, context-related issues might hamper the value of intervention in some settings, particularly in low- and middle-income countries. Therefore, adapting the intervention to the local context might be required in low- and middle-income countries. Further consultation with external advisory groups, and adaptation guides may be required to scale up interventions in culturally diverse settings.
Feasibility/resource use	Explanation
How large are the resource requirements? Major Minor Uncertain ✓	Training, particularly involving the equipment for administering exercise interventions, is resource- intensive. However, the health benefit gained from the recommendation is likely to outweigh the resources required for implementation. Some factors can mitigate the cost, such as task-shifting, engaging with family members, and the training-of-trainers model.
Is the option feasible to implement? Yes No Uncertain ✓	In this review, some trials involved non-specialist health-care professionals in the delivery of the exercise intervention. In low- and middle-income countries, non-specialist health-care workers/community health volunteers at primary care level/community level have been involved in <i>(continued next page)</i>

-

	delivering this public health intervention effectively. Such professionals can be trained to provide care for older people. However, whether existing staff can be involved or not requires further discussion with policy-makers and key stakeholders. A separate cadre of non-specialist health workers for geriatric care might be necessary.
Equity	Explanation
Would the option improve equity in health?	The GDG strongly believes that this recommendation will increase equity in health. Adherence to physical exercises without assistance is more prominent in higher-income and better-educated older populations.
Yes No Uncertain ✓	

Guideline development group recommendation and remarks

Recommendation

Multimodal exercise, including progressive strength resistance training and other exercise components (balance, flexibility and aerobic training), should be recommended for older people with declining physical capacity, measured by gait speed, grip strength and other physical performance measures.

Strength of the recommendation: Strong Quality of evidence: Moderate

Remarks

- The effects and quality of evidence for stand-alone progressive resistance training and t'ai chi were not considered sufficient for incorporation into the recommendation.
- The duration of multimodal exercise intervention ranged from 2.7 to 12 months.
- The frequency of training was most commonly 3 days per week.
- There was a marked heterogeneity in the exercise components included in multimodal exercise trials. It may be important to distinguish the effect size for different combinations of exercises for a more accurate estimate of the effects.
- The majority of the trials included in the systematic reviews were conducted in high-income countries. There may be limitations in generalizing this evidence to low-resource health-care settings.
- The average age of the older people recruited in the trials evaluated may be over that of a target population in low- and middle-income countries. This may influence the generalizability of these findings to these populations.

(continued next page)

- Compliance rates were found to decline in multimodal training programmes that used a transition approach starting, that is, with group exercise classes with a high level of supervision, and then reducing the frequency of these classes as home-based exercises were added in.
- Commitments to, and compliance with, training programmes are crucial to achieving optimal benefits. Supervision by trained professionals may therefore be needed for high-intensity exercise and homebased training programmes.
- Exercise programmes designed for older adults with limitations in cognitive function should be tailored to meet individual needs, and should be delivered with more flexibility in a less structured manner than programmes for older people without impaired cognitive function.

References

- Mitra S, Sambamoorthi U: Disability prevalence among adults: estimates for 54 countries and progress toward a global estimate. *Disabil Rehabil*. 2014;36(11):940–47. doi:10.3109/09638288.2013.825333.
- Chandler JM, Duncan PW, Kochersberger G, Studenski S. Is lower extremity strength gain associated with improvement in physical performance and disability in frail, community-dwelling elders? Arch Phys Med Rehabil. 1998;79(1):24–30.
- Villareal DT, Chode S, Parimi N, Sinacore DR, Hilton T, Armamento-Villareal R, Napoli N, Qualls C, Shah K. Weight loss, exercise, or both and physical function in obese older adults. *N Engl J Med.* 2011;364(13):1218–29. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1008234.
- Bean JF, Herman S, Kiely DK, Frey IC, Leveille SG, Fielding RA, Frontera WR. Increased velocity exercise specific to task (InVEST) training: a pilot study exploring effects on leg power, balance, and mobility in community-dwelling older women. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2004;52(5):799–804. doi:10.1111/j.1532-5415.2004.52222.x.
- Boshuizen HC, Stemmerik L, Westhoff MH, Hopman-Rock M. The effects of physical therapists' guidance on improvement in a strength-training program for the frail elderly. *J Aging Phys Act.* 2005;13(1):5–22.
- Latham NK, Anderson CS, Lee A, Bennett DA, Moseley A, Cameron ID. A randomized, controlled trial of quadriceps resistance exercise and vitamin D in frail older people: the frailty interventions trial in elderly subjects (FITNESS). *J Am Geriatr Soc.* 2003;51(3):291–9. doi:10.1046/j.1532-5415.2003.51101.x.
- 7. Binder EF, Schechtman KB, Ehsani AA, Steger-May K, Brown M, Sinacore DR, Yarasheski KE, Holloszy JO. Effects of

exercise training on frailty in community-dwelling older adults: results of a randomized, controlled trial. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* 2002;50(12):1921–8.

- Lord SR, Castell S, Corcoran J, Dayhew J, Matters B, Shan A, Williams P. The effect of group exercise on physical functioning and falls in frail older people living in retirement villages: a randomized, controlled trial. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* 2003;51(12):1685–92. doi:10.1046/j.1532-5415.2003.51551.x.
- Chin A Paw MJM, de Jong N, Schouten EG, Hiddink GJ, Kok FJ. Physical exercise and/or enriched foods for functional improvement in frail, independently living elderly: a randomized controlled trial. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*. 2001;82(6):811–7. doi:10.1053/apmr.2001.23278.
- King MB, Whipple RH, Gruman CA, Judge JO, Schmidt JA, Wolfson LI. The performance enhancement project: Improving physical performance in older persons. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil.* 2002;83(8):1060–9. doi:10.1053/apmr.2002.33653.
- Giné-Garriga M, Roqué-Fíguls M, Coll-Planas L, Sitjà-Rabert M, Salvà A. Physical exercise interventions for improving performance-based measures of physical function in community-dwelling, frail older adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*. 2014;95:753–69.e3. doi:10.1016/j.apmr.2013.11.007.
- Zhang J-G, Ishikawa-Takata K, Yamazaki H, Morita T, Ohta T. The effects of Tai Chi Chuan on physiological function and fear of falling in the less robust elderly: an intervention study for preventing falls. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*. 2006;42(2):107–16. doi:10.1016/j.archger.2005.06.007.
- 13. Clemson L, Fiatarone Singh MA, Bundy A, Cumming RG,

(continued next page)

Manollaras K, O'Loughlin P, Black D. Integration of balance and strength training into daily life activity to reduce rate of falls in older people (the LiFE study): randomised parallel trial. *BMJ*. 2012;345 e4547. doi: 10.1136/bmj.e4547.

- 14. Lustosa LP, Silva JP, Coelho FM, Pereira DS, Parentoni AN, Pereira LSM. Impact of resistance exercise program on functional capacity and muscular strength of knee extensor in pre-frail community-dwelling older women: a randomized crossover trial. *Rev Bras Fisioter*. 2011;15(4):318–24. doi:10.1590/S1413-35552011000400010.
- Krebs DE, Jette AM, Assmann SF. Moderate exercise improves gait stability in disabled elders. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil.* 1998;79(12):1489–95.
- Hennessey JV, Chromiak JA, DellaVentura S, Reinert SE, Puhl J, Kiel DP, Rosen CJ, Vandenburgh H, MacLean DB. Growth hormone administration and exercise effects on muscle fiber type and diameter in moderately frail older people. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* 2001;49(7):852–8. doi:10.1046/j.1532-5415.2001.49173.x.
- Jette AM, Lachman M, Giorgetti MM, Assmann SF, Harris BA, Levenson C, Wernick M, Krebs D. Exercise - It's never too late: the strong-for-life program. *Am J Public Health*. 1999;89(1):66–72.
- Miszko TA, Cress ME, Slade JM, Covey CJ, Agrawal SK, Doerr CE. Effect of strength and power training on physical function in community-dwelling older adults. *Journals Gerontol Ser A Biol Sci Med Sci.* 2003;58(2):M171–5. doi:10.1093/gerona/58.2.M171.
- Skelton DA, McLaughlin AW. Training functional ability in old age. *Physiother*. 1996;82(3):159–67. doi:10.1016/S0031-9406(05)66916-7.
- Westhoff MH, Stemmerik L, Boshuizen HC. Effects of a lowintensity strength-training program on knee-extensor strength

and functional ability of frail older people. *J Aging Phys Act.* 2000;8(4):325–42. doi:10.1123/japa.8.4.325.

- Barnett A, Smith B, Lord SR, Williams M, Baumand A. Community-based group exercise improves balance and reduces falls in at-risk older people: a randomized controlled trial. *Age Ageing*. 2003;32(4):407–14.
- Freiberger E, Häberle L, Spirduso WW, Rixt Zijlstra G. Longterm effects of three multicomponent exercise interventions on physical performance and fall-related psychological outcomes in community-dwelling older adults: a randomized controlled trial. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* 2012;60(3):437–46. doi:10.1111/j.1532-5415.2011.03859.x.
- Kim HK, Suzuki T, Saito K, Yoshida H, Kobayashi H, Kato H, Katayama M. Effects of exercise and amino acid supplementation on body composition and physical function in community-dwelling elderly Japanese sarcopenic women: a randomized controlled trial. *J Am Geriatr Soc*, 2012;60(1):16– 23. doi:10.1111/j.1532-5415.2011.03776.x.
- Worm C, Vad E, Puggaard L, Støvring H, Lauritsen J, Kragstrup J. Effects of a multi-component exercise program on functional ability in community dwelling, frail, older adults. J Aging Phys Act. 2001;9(4):414–24. doi:10.1123/japa.9.4.414.
- Beyer N, Simonsen L, Bülow J, Lorenzen T, Jensen DV, Larsen L, Rasmussen U, Rennie M, Kjær M. Old women with a recent fall history show improved muscle strength and function sustained for six months after finishing training. *Aging Clin Exp Res.* 2007;19(4):300–9. doi:10.1007/BF03324706.
- Nelson ME, Layne JE, Bernstein MJ, Nuernberger A, Castaneda C, Kaliton D, Hausdorff J, Judge JO, Buchner DM, Roubenoff R. The effects of multidimensional home-based exercise on functional performance in elderly people.

(continued next page)

J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci. 2004;59(2):M154–60. doi:10.1093/gerona/59.2.M154.

- LIFE Study Investigators, Pahor M, Blair SN, Espeland M, Fielding R, Gill TM et al. Effects of a physical activity intervention on measures of physical performance: results of the Lifestyle Interventions and Independence for Elders Pilot (LIFE-P) study. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci. 2006;61:1157– 65. doi:10.1093/gerona/61.11.1157.
- Sherrington C, Pamphlett PI, Jacka JA, Olivetti LM, Nugent JA, Hall JM, Dorsch S, Mun-San Kwan M, Lord SR. Group exercise can improve participants' mobility in an outpatient rehabilitation setting: a randomized controlled trial. *Clin Rehabil.* 2008;22(6):493–502. doi:10.1177/0269215508087994.
- 29. Ballard JE, McFarland C, Wallace LS, Holiday DB, Roberson G. The effect of 15 weeks of exercise on balance, leg strength, and reduction in falls in 40 women aged 65 to 89 years. *J Am Med Womens Assoc (1972)*. 2004;59(4):255–61.
- Buchner DM, Cress ME, de Lateur BJ, Esselman PC, Margherita AJ, Price R, Wagner EH. The effect of strength and endurance training on gait, balance, fall risk, and health services use in community-living older adults. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci.* 1997;52(4):M218–24.
- Clemson L, Singh MF, Bundy A, Cumming RG, Weissel E, Munro J, Manollaras K, Black D. LiFE Pilot Study: A randomized trial of balance and strength training embedded in daily life activity to reduce falls in older adults. *Aust Occup Ther J*. 2010;57(1):42–50. doi:10.1111/j.1440-1630.2009.00848.x.
- 32. Haines TP, Russell T, Brauer SG, Erwin S, Lane P, Urry S, Jasiewicz J, Condie P. Effectiveness of a video-based exercise programme to reduce falls and improve health-related quality of life among older adults discharged from hospital: a

pilot randomized controlled trial. *Clin Rehabil*. 2009;23:973–85. doi:10.1177/0269215509338998.

- Lin MR, Wolf SL, Hwang HF, Gong SY, Chen CY. A randomized, controlled trial of fall prevention programs and quality of life in older fallers. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* 2007;55(4):499–06. doi:10.1111/j.1532-5415.2007.01146.x.
- Liu-Ambrose T, Donaldson MG, Ahamed Y, Graf P, Cook WL, Close J, Lord SR, Khan KM. Otago home-based strength and balance retraining improves executive functioning in older fallers: a randomized controlled trial. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* 2008;56(10):1821–30. doi:10.1111/j.1532-5415.2008.01931.x.
- Rubenstein LZ, Josephson KR, Trueblood PR, Loy S, Harker JO, Pietruszka FM, Robbins AS. Effects of a group exercise program on strength, mobility, and falls among fall-prone elderly men. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci. 2000;55(6):M317– 21.
- Skelton D, Dinan S, Campbell M, Rutherford O. Tailored group exercise (Falls Management Exercise—FaME) reduces falls in community-dwelling older frequent fallers *Age Ageing*. 2005;34(6):636–9. doi:10.1093/ageing/afi174.
- Rydwik E, Lammes E, Frändin K, Akner G. Effects of a physical and nutritional intervention program for frail elderly people over age 75. A randomized controlled pilot treatment trial. *Aging Clin Exp Res.* 2008;20(2):159–70.
- Kim H, Yoshida H, Suzuki T. The effects of multidimensional exercise on functional decline, urinary incontinence, and fear of falling in community-dwelling elderly women with multiple symptoms of geriatric syndrome: a randomized controlled and 6-month follow-up trial. *Arch Gerontol Geriatr.* 2011;52(1):99– 105. doi:10.1016/j.archger.2010.02.008.
- 39. Yang XJ, Hill K, Moore K, Williams S, Dowson L,

(continued next page)

Borschmann K, Simpson JA, Dharmage SC. Effectiveness of a targeted exercise intervention in reversing older people's mild balance dysfunction: a randomized controlled trial. *Phys Ther.* 2012;92(1):24–37. doi:10.2522/ptj.20100289.

- Wolf SL, O'Grady M, Easley KA, Guo Y, Kressig RW, Kutner M. The influence of intense Tai Chi training on physical performance and hemodynamic outcomes in transitionally frail, older adults. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci. 2006;61(2):184–9.
- Logghe IHJ, Zeeuwe PEM, Verhagen AP, Wijnen-Sponselee RMT, Willemsen SP, Bierma-Zeinstra SMA, van Rossum E,

Systematic reviews excluded from GRADE tables

- Baker MK, Atlantis E, Singh MAF. Multi-modal exercise programs for older adults. *Age Ageing*. 2007;36(4):375–81. doi:10.1093/ageing/afm054 —*Trials were either ineligible or have been included in this scope review*.
- Blankevoort CG, van Heuvelen MJ, Boersma F, Luning H, de Jong J, Scherder EJ. Review of effects of physical activity on strength, balance, mobility and ADL performance in elderly subjects with dementia. *Dement Geriatr Cogn Disord*. 2010;30(5):392–402. doi:10.1159/000321357.
 - Trials were either ineligible or have been included in this scope review.
- Chan WC, Yeung JWF, Wong CSM, et al. Efficacy of physical exercise in preventing falls in older adults with cognitive impairment: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Am Med Dir Assoc*. 2015;16(2):149–54.
 - doi:10.1016/j.jamda.2014.08.007 Residents in long-term care facilities.
- Chin AP, Van Uffelen JGZ, Riphagen I, et al. The functional effects of physical exercise training in frail older people: a systematic review. *Sport Med.* 2008;38(9):781–93.

Faber MJ, Koes BW. Lack of effect of Tai Chi Chuan in preventing falls in elderly people living at home: a randomized clinical trial. *J Am Geriatr Soc*. 2009;57(1):70–5. doi:10.1111/j.1532-5415.2008.02064.x.

 Wolf SL, Sattin RW, Kutner M, O'Grady M, Greenspan AI, Gregor RJ. Intense tai chi exercise training and fall occurrences in older, transitionally frail adults: a randomized, controlled trial. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* 2003;51(12):1693–701. doi:10.1046/j.1532-5415.2003.51552.x.

doi:10.2165/00007256-200838090-00006 — The review scope is overlapped with other reviews which have been included. Chudyk AM, Jutai JW, Petrella RJ, Speechley M. Systematic

- review of hip fracture rehabilitation practices in the elderly. Arch Phys Med Rehabil. 2009;90(2):246–62. doi:10.1016/j.apmr.2008.06.036 — Patients with hip fracture.
- Coelho FGdM, Santos-Galduroz RF, Gobbi S, Stella F. Systematized physical activity and cognitive performance in elderly with Alzheimer's dementia: a systematic review. *Rev Bras Psiquiatr.* 2009;31(2):163–70. doi:10.1590/S1516-44462009000200014 — *Non-English publication*.
- Costello E, Edelstein JE. Update on falls prevention for communitydwelling older adults: review of single and multifactorial intervention programs. *J Rehabil Res Dev*. 2008;45(8):1135– 52. doi:10.1682/JRRD.2007.10.0169
 - The review scope on multifactorial intervention is not relevant to the scope review.
- Davis JC, Robertson MC, Ashe MC, Liu-Ambrose T, Khan KM,

(continued next page)

Marra CA. Does a home-based strength and balance programme in people aged > or =80 years provide the best value for money to prevent falls? A systematic review of economic evaluations of falls prevention interventions. *Br J Sports Med*. 2010;44(2):80–9. doi: 10.1136/bjsm.2008.060988 — *Trials were either ineligible or have been included*.

- Forster A, Lambley R, Young JB. Is physical rehabilitation for older people in long-term care effective? Findings from a systematic review. *Age Ageing*. 2010;39(2):169–75.
- doi:10.1093/ageing/afp247 Residents in long-term care. Goodwin VA, Abbott RA, Whear R, Bethel A, Ukoumunne OC,
- Thompson-Coon J, Stein K. Multiple component interventions for preventing falls and fall-related injuries among older people: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Geriatr*. 2014;14:15. doi:10.1186/1471-2318-14-15
- Trials were either ineligible or have been included in this scope review.
- Harmer P, Li F. Tai Chi and falls prevention in older people. Med Sport Sci. 2008;52:124–34. doi: 10.1159/000134293 — The review scope overlaps with Gillespie's 2012 systematic review.
- Heyn PC, Johnson KE, Kramer AF. Endurance and strength training outcomes on cognitively impaired and cognitively intact older adults: a meta-analysis. J Nutr Health Aging. 2008;12(6):401–9. doi:10.1007/BF02982674 Only a few trials are eligible for this review due to the majority of trials were conducted in the long-term care. Eligible trials have been included in other reviews that have been included for this scope review.
- Heyn P, Abreu BC, Ottenbacher KJ. The effects of exercise training on elderly persons with cognitive impairment and dementia: a meta-analysis. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil.* 2004;85(10):1694–704. doi:10.1016/j.apmr.2004.03.019 Only a few trials are eligible for this review due to the majority

of trials were conducted in the long-term care. Eligible trials have been included in other reviews that have been included for this scope review.

- Keysor JJ. Does late-life physical activity or exercise prevent or minimize disablement? A critical review of the scientific evidence. Am J Prev Med. 2003;25(3 Suppl 2):129–36. doi:10.1016/S0749-3797(03)00176-4 — The review scope overlaps with other reviews included.
- Lee MS, Pittler MH, Shin B-C, Ernst E. Tai chi for osteoporosis: a systematic review. *Osteoporos Int*, 2008;19(2):139–46. doi:10.1007/s00198-007-0486-x *No relevant outcome*.
- Liu C, Latham N. Progressive resistance strength training for improving physical function in older adults. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2009;(3):CD002759. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD002759.pub2 — A related publication of Liu and Latham 2009 which has been included.
- Liu C-j, Shiroy DM, Jones LY, Clark DO. Systematic review of functional training on muscle strength, physical functioning, and activities of daily living in older adults. *Eur Rev Aging Phys Act.* 2014;11:95–106. doi:10.1007/s11556-014-0144-1 — Eligible trials have been included in other reviews which have been included in this scope review.
- Liu-Ambrose T, Donaldson MG. Exercise and cognition in older adults: is there a role for resistance training programmes? Br J Sports Med. 2009;43(1):25–7. doi:10.1136/bjsm.2008.055616 — Narrative review.
- Littbrand H, Stenvall M, Rosendahl E. Applicability and effects of physical exercise on physical and cognitive functions and activities of daily living among people with dementia: a systematic review. *Am J Phys Med Rehabil.* 2011;90(6):495–518. doi:10.1097/PHM.0b013e318214de26 *Residents in long-term care.*

Annex 1: Search strategy MEDLINE database 1. ((strength\$ or resist\$ or weight\$) adj3 training).tw. 2. progressive resist\$.tw. 3. or/1-2 4. Exercise/ 5. Exercise Therapy/ 6. exercise\$.tw. 7. or/4-6 8. (Resist\$ training or strength\$).tw. 9. and/7-8 10. or/3,9 11. limit 10 to ("all aged (65 and over)" or "aged(80 and over)") 12. (elderly or senior\$).tw. 13. and/10,12 14. or/11,13 15. randomized controlled trial.pt. controlled clinical trial.pt.
 controlled clinical trial.pt.
 Randomized Controlled Trials/
 Random Allocation/ Random Allocation/
 Double Blind Method/
 Single Blind Method/
 or/15-20
 Animals/ not Humans/
 21 not 22
 double International Action Statements 24. clinical trial.pt.25. exp Clinical Trials as topic/ clinic\$ adj25 tria{\$).tw.
 clinic\$ adj25 tria{\$).tw.
 clinic\$ adj25 tria{\$).tw.
 Placebos/ 29. placebo\$.tw. 30. random\$.tw. 31. Research Design/ 32. or/24-31 33. 32 not 22

34. 33 not 23 35. or/23,34

36. and/14,35

- Embase database (updated on 15 April 2011)
- 1. ((strength\$ or resist\$ or weight\$) adj3 training).tw.
- 2. progressive resist\$.tw. 3. or/1-2
- 4. Exercise/5. Kinesiotherapy/ or Therapy Resistance/
- 6. exercise\$.tw.
- 7. or/4-6 8. (resist\$ or strength\$).tw.
- 9. and/7-8 10. or/3,9
- 11. limit 10 to aged <65+ years>
- 12. (elderly or senior\$).tw. 13. and/10,12
- 14. or/11,13 15. Clinical trial/
- 16. Randomized controlled trial/ 17. Randomization/

- 17. Kandom/2alon/
 18. Single blind procedure/
 19. Double blind procedure/
 20. Crossover procedure/
 21. Placebo/
 22. Randomized controlled trial\$.tw.
 20. Double blind procedure/
 21. Placebo/
- 23. Rct.tw. 24. Random allocation.tw.
- 25. Randomly allocated.tw.
- 26. Allocated randomly.tw. 27. (allocated adj2 random).tw.
- 28. Single blind\$.tw. 29. Double blind\$.tw
- 30. ((treble or triple) adj blind\$).tw.
- 31. Placebo\$.tw.
 32. Prospective study/
 33. or/15-32
 34. Case study/

- 35. Case report.tw.
- 36. Abstract report/ or letter/ 37. or/34-36
- 38. 33 not 37 39. limit 38 to human
- 40. and/14,39

Annex 2: PRISMA² flow diagram for mobility loss



² Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA). For more information: http://www.prisma-statement.org